

# Real-time Data Dependant Fuzzy Linear Model for Enterprise Security

Ivan Djordjevic<sup>1</sup>, Gordan Stojic<sup>2</sup> and Dobrila Petrovic<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Ivan Djordjevic is with the Faculty of Technical Sciences University of Novi Sad,  
6 Square of Dositej Obradovic, Novi Sad, 21101, Serbia  
[ivan.djordjevic87@gmail.com](mailto:ivan.djordjevic87@gmail.com)



<sup>2</sup>Gordan Stojic is with the Faculty of Technical Sciences University of Novi Sad,  
6 Square of Dositej Obradovic, Novi Sad, 21101, Serbia  
[gordan@uns.ac.rs](mailto:gordan@uns.ac.rs)

<sup>3</sup>Dobrila Petrovic is with the Faculty of Engineering, Environment  
and Computing University of Coventry, Priory Street, Coventry  
CV1 5FB, United Kingdom, [d.petrovic@coventry.ac.uk](mailto:d.petrovic@coventry.ac.uk)

**ABSTRACT:** *In enterprises, material flow, production time and storage for delivery are required to confirm security and safety. We have used the real-time data with the fuzzy linear model for creating an aggregate production planning and tested the model for enterprise safety issues. We found that adequate security is achieved in the proposed model.*

**Keywords:** Aggregate Production Planning, Fuzzy Optimization, Uncertain Production, Uncertain Customer Demand

**Received:** 4 December 2022, Revised 4 March 2023, Accepted 22 March 2023

**DOI:** 10.6025/jisr/2023/14/2/41-48

**Copyright:** with Authors

## 1. Introduction

Aggregate production planning (APP) is one of the most important part of operations management in competitive supply chains. It concerns matching supply with forecasted customer demand over a planning period, which is usually one year in practice. Generally, the aim is to determine required resources, which include production rate, warehouse levels, work force level, overtime, etc., in such a way as to meet customer demand.

In the literature, it has been assumed most often, that all the parameters which are associated with the APP process, such as customer demand, processing times, production capacities etc., are deterministic in nature (for example, [1]). In order to handle uncertainties which characterise real world APP environments, and a randomness in customer demand, in particular, various stochastic optimisation models have been proposed [2]. Furthermore, one can find in the literature that different types of uncertainties encountered in APP problems, such as imprecise demand, production capacities with tolerance, fuzzy processing times can be specified by production managers using imprecise linguistic terms. They have led to the development of a number of fuzzy APP models and applications of fuzzy optimisation techniques [3]

In this paper, we propose a new fuzzy model for optimal APP in the presence of uncertainty. The novelty of the model is that

the objective is to minimise the fuzzy total time required for production, storing manufactured products and their preparation for delivery to the customer. We introduce uncertain factors to take into consideration uncertainty in customer demand which is forecasted and can fluctuate around these values and uncertainty in manufactured quantities. As all the time parameters listed above, customer demand deviations and the parameters which describe the output of manufacturing process are fuzzy, both the associated objective function and constraints become fuzzy, too. We adapt and apply one of the methods for transforming the fuzzy linear programming optimisation model, with the fuzzy objective function and fuzzy constraints, into a crisp optimisation model with both the crisp objective function and crisp constraints [4].

The paper is organized as follows. Literature review on APP models and methodologies used and methods of modelling uncertain APP parameters is presented in Section 2. Problem statement is given in Section 3. The fuzzy aggregated production and inventory planning model are described in Section 4, while Section 5 contains case study and analyses of results of different experiments carried out using the proposed model. The conclusion is given in Section 6.

## 2. Literature Review

It is well recognised in the literature that treating uncertainty in APP models in an appropriate way brings an advantage to handling real world APP problems and brings them nearer to the practice [3]. Majority of the APP models handle uncertainty using a classic probability theory approach, and consider only one type of uncertainty which is based on randomness and frequency of a random event occurrence.

Linear mixed integer programs (MIPs) were developed to solve two production planning problems with demand uncertainty [5], when the manufacturer had a flexibility to accept or reject an order. The MIP method in production planning problems for multi-period and multi-items in make-to-order manufacturing system was used in [6]. Avraamidou and Pistikopoulos [7] developed a bi-level mixed integer linear programming model for a supply chain under demand uncertainty.

A very important issue in modern production planning is energy consumption. Today, the most manufacturers invest significant money assets to optimise and reduce energy consumption. In [8], a multi-objective linear programming problem with three objective functions including operational expense, energy expense and carbon emission, was analysed.

Zadeh proposed a new approach to handle different types of uncertainty, by introducing the concept of fuzzy sets [9]. It has been demonstrated in the literature that fuzzy sets can be successfully applied to modelling uncertainty where available information is vague or cannot be defined precisely due to the limited knowledge. One can find some good examples in the literature on how fuzzy sets are applied in supply chain management problems, for example in supply chain partners' collaboration [10], in MRP (material requirement problems) [3], in serial supply chains [11], etc. Tang et al. considered both uncertainty in customer demand and production capacity and modelled them as fuzzy values in a multi-product APP model [12]. A fuzzy multi-objective mixed integer non-linear programming model for a supply chain was proposed in [13]. Fuzzy customer demand was considered in three objective functions that minimised the total supply chain cost, total maximum product shortages, and the rate of changes in human resources.

## 3. Problem Statement

A problem is to generate the optimum aggregate production and inventory plan for a supplier for a given planning time horizon. The supplier operates in a "make-to-order" manner and has to prepare a production and inventory plan in such a way as to satisfy customer demand and optimise an associated performance measure in the considered time horizon.

The planning time horizon is discretised into a series of subsequent discrete time periods. The APP determines 3 quantities to be generated for each time period in the planning time horizon: (1) optimal production quantity to be manufactured, (2) the safety stock quantity that should be kept in the warehouse and (3) the quantity that should be delivered to the customer.

If the same production line is used for manufacturing of different products for more than one customer, an efficient use of the production line is of paramount importance for the production process.

All these uncertainties have to be taken into account when generating the optimal production and inventory plan.

## 4. Fuzzy Aggregated Production and Inventory Planning

### 4.1. Notation

The following notation is used:

- $i$  – index of a time period in a planning horizon,  $i = 1, \dots, n$ ,
- $D_i$  – customer demand in period  $i$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$ ,
- $\tilde{t}_p$  – fuzzy production time per unit of product (in minutes), with trapezoidal membership function  $\tilde{t}_p = (t_{p1}, t_{p2}, t_{p3}, \dots)$ ,
- $\tilde{t}_s$  – fuzzy preparation time for shipping to customer per unit of product (in minutes), with trapezoidal membership function  $\tilde{t}_s = (t_{s1}, t_{s2}, t_{s3}, t_{s4})$ ,
- $\tilde{t}_t$  – fuzzy preparation time for shipping to customer per unit of product (in minutes), with trapezoidal membership function  $\tilde{t}_t = (t_{t1}, t_{t2}, t_{t3}, t_{t4})$ ,
- $\tilde{W}_i^d$  – fuzzy factor for uncertain customer demand deviation from forecasted value in period  $i$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , with triangular membership function  $\tilde{W}_i^d = (W_{i1}^d, W_{im}^d, W_{iu}^d)$ ,
- $\tilde{W}_i^p$  – fuzzy factor for uncertain production quantity output in period  $i$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , with triangular membership function  $\tilde{W}_i^p = (W_{i1}^p, W_{im}^p, W_{iu}^p)$ ,
- $T^l$  – minimum “days of inventory” in the warehouse,
- $T^u$  – maximum “days of inventory” in the warehouse,
- $C$  – machine capacity.

#### Decision variables:

- $P_i$  – quantity manufactured in period  $i$ ,
- $Ss_i$  – safety stock in period  $i$ ,
- $Q_i$  – quantity delivered to customer in period  $i$ .

### 4.2. Fuzzy App LP Model

The objective is to minimize the total material lead time  $\tilde{Z}$  including the production time  $\tilde{t}_p P_i$ , warehouse time  $\tilde{t}_s Ss_i$  required for storing safety stock of manufactured products and time for preparation of delivery to customers  $\tilde{t}_t Q_i$ , as follows:

$$\min \tilde{Z} = \sum_{i=1}^n \tilde{t}_p P_i + \tilde{t}_s Ss_i + \tilde{t}_t Q_i \quad (1)$$

The following constraints are considered:

Uncertain customer demand  $\tilde{W}_i^d D_i$  in each time period  $i$  is satisfied using the uncertain production  $\tilde{W}_i^p P_i$  or safety stock  $Ss_i$  :

$$Ss_i + \tilde{W}_i^d D_i \geq \tilde{W}_i^p P_i, \quad i=1, \dots, n \quad (2)$$

The safety stock  $Ss_{i+1}$  in each time period  $i+1$  is equal to the stock in the previous period  $Ss_i$  increased by uncertain production in the previous period,  $\tilde{W}_i^p P_i$ , and reduced by uncertain customer demand, i.e., quantity delivered to the customer in the previous period,  $\tilde{W}_i^d D_i$  :

$$Ss_{i+1} = Ss_i + \tilde{W}_i^p P_i - \tilde{W}_i^d D_i, \quad i = 1, \dots, n \quad (3)$$

Installed machine capacity  $C$  produces uncertain  $w_i^p P_i$  units per period  $i$  :

$$\tilde{W}_i^p P_i \geq 0, \quad i=1, \dots, n \quad (4)$$

$$C \geq \tilde{w}_i^p P_i, i=1, \dots, n \quad (5)$$

The safety stock  $Ss_i$  in period  $i$  is defined by a supplier's target to cover between  $T^l$  and  $T^u$  days of uncertain customer demand  $\tilde{w}_i^d D_i$  in that period:

$$Ss_i \geq T^l \tilde{w}_i^d D_i, i=1, \dots, n \quad (6)$$

$$T^l \tilde{w}_i^d D_i \geq Ss_i, i=1, \dots, n \quad (7)$$

The delivery  $Q_i$  in each period  $i$  must be equal to uncertain customer demand  $\tilde{w}_i^d D_i$  in order to operate with the maximum service level - 100%.

$$Q_i = \tilde{w}_i^d D_i, i=1, \dots, n \quad (8)$$

Decision variables  $P_i$ ,  $Ss_i$  and  $Q_i$  in each time period  $i$  are non-negative:

$$P_i, Ss_i, Q_i \geq 0, i=1, \dots, n \quad (9)$$

### 4.3. From the Fuzzy App Optimization Model to a Crisp App Optimization Model

We applied a method developed by Jimenez et al [4] to transform the fuzzy APP model into a crisp APP model. We adapted it in such a way as to handle fuzzy parameters in the objective function with trapezoidal membership functions.

The transformation includes 3 steps as follows.

**Step 1.** The decision maker specifies the feasibility degree  $\beta$  of constraint satisfaction he/she is ready to accept. Let us assume that the lowest feasibility degree that the decision maker is ready to consider is Neither acceptable nor unacceptable solution -  $\beta$  of course, it can be changed to any other feasibility degree  $\beta$  from interval  $[0, 1]$ .

The crisp optimisation model is solved iteratively for each feasibility degree  $\beta = 0.5, 0.6, \dots, 0.9, 0.95, 0.99$  and 1 where each solution is  $\beta$ -feasible, i.e., the minimum of feasibility achieved for all constraints is  $\beta$ . The  $\beta$ -feasible solution  $P_i, Ss_i$  and  $Q_i$  are found as follows.

First, fuzzy parameters  $\tilde{t}_p, \tilde{t}_s$  and  $\tilde{t}_i$  in the objective function are mapped into their crisp expected values. They are calculated as the middle points of the Expected intervals.

**Step 2.** The decision maker specifies tolerance thresholds to obtained fuzzy objective function values achieved for different  $\beta$ -satisfaction of constraints. The shortest time  $\underline{Z}$  will be achieved for the lowest-constraints' satisfaction  $\beta = 0.5$  and the longest time  $\bar{Z}$  for the highest constraints' satisfaction  $\beta = 1$ . We assume that the tolerance function  $G$  is linear between these two tolerance thresholds, the shortest time  $\underline{Z}$  and the longest time  $\bar{Z}$ . The membership function is:

$$\mu_G^{\sim}(Z) = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} 1, & z < \underline{Z} \\ \frac{\bar{Z}-z}{\bar{Z}-\underline{Z}}, & \underline{Z} \leq z \leq \bar{Z} \\ 0, & z > \bar{Z} \end{array} \right\} \quad (10)$$

We propose the following formula to calculate tolerance  $K_G^{\sim}(Z(\tilde{\beta}))$  to obtained objective function value  $Z(\tilde{\beta})$  when the feasibility of constrains is  $\beta$ .

$$K_G^{\sim}(Z(\beta)) = \frac{\bar{Z} - EV(\bar{Z}(\beta))}{\bar{Z} - \underline{Z}} \quad (11)$$

**Step 3.** Balance between the feasibility degree of constraints  $\beta$  and the satisfaction degree of solution, is  $K_{\tilde{G}}(Z(\tilde{\beta}))$  calculated as:

$$\beta \cdot K_{\tilde{G}}(\tilde{Z}(\beta)) \tag{12}$$

The solution  $P_i, Ss_i, Q_i, i=1, \dots, n$  which achieves the highest balance  $\max_{\beta=0.5, 0.6, \dots, 0.9, 0.95, 0.99, 1} \beta \cdot K_{\tilde{G}}(\tilde{Z}(\beta))$ , is recommended.

### 5. Case Study

We considered a first tier supplier in the automotive industry located in Serbia, which has become an increasingly important industrial sector in the recent years. The factory supplies window regulators to a number of European car manufacturers.

We analysed one production line which manufactures multi products for two different customers. All products belong to the same product family. They are packed in two types of plastic containers specified by the customers. The developed fuzzy APP model is applied to determine the minimal time required for production and logistics processes. The planning horizon is selected to be a period of 12 weeks. Customer demand forecast for 12 weeks is a typical mid-term forecast used in the automotive industry for production planning. A longer period of customer demand has huge uncertainty and is not reliable for sustainable production planning.

The result of fuzzy APP model is presented in Table 1. The calculation is performed using formulas (1-12) and simplex method of classical LP solver. An algorithm is developed in software Visual Studio 2015 in C++ programming language. The performance of computer: Intel processor i3-2120 (3M Cache, 3.30 GHz), 8G RAM memory (2133 MHz).

Feas. degr. $\beta$	Decision variables			Fuzzy objective function value				Tolerance $\mu_{\tilde{G}}(Z)$	Balance $K_{\tilde{G}}(Z(\beta))$	Object.func value Z
	$\sum p_i$	$\sum Ss_i$	$\sum Q_i$	$Z_1$	$Z_2$	$Z_3$	$Z_4$			
0.5	188776	123372	194883	54839	58009	62338	68889	0.733	0.3665	61382
0.6	194107	126022	198581	56235	59489	63927	70646	0.666	0.3995	62948
0.7	199546	128672	202279	57654	60992	65541	72429	0.598	0.4183	64538
0.8	205098	131323	205977	59094	62519	67180	74241	0.528	0.4225	66153
0.9	210766	134141	209674	60562	64074	68851	76089	0.458	0.4118	67799
0.95	213644	135620	211523	61305	64863	69698	77027	0.422	0.4006	68634
0.99	215968	137007	213003	61909	65503	70386	77791	0.393	0.3886	69313
1	216552	137365	213372	62061	65664	70559	77983	0.385	0.3852	69484

Table 1. Results of the Fuzzy APP Model

Fuzzy factor  $\tilde{W}_i^p$  is symmetrical triangular fuzzy number and modeled by logistics expert in enterprise as 10% of production output deviation (0,9, 1, 1,1). Fuzzy factor  $\tilde{W}_i^p$  is symmetrical triangular fuzzy number and obtained as previous calculation based on customer demand deviation in enterprise in period of 12 weeks before testing time window of 12 weeks:

$$f_i = \frac{D_i}{\sigma}, i = 1, \dots, 12 \tag{13}$$

Where  $f_i$  is demand fluctuation,  $D_i$  customer demand prediction different for every week  $i$ , and  $\sigma$  is standard deviation of  $D_i$ ,  $i=1, \dots, 12$ . Production time  $\tilde{t}_p$ , time for safety stock storing in warehouse  $t_s$ , and time for preparation of shipment to customer  $t_i$  are measured in enterprise and presented as nonsymmetrical trapezoidal fuzzy numbers:  $\tilde{t}_p = (0,20,0,21,0,23,0,25)$ ,  $\tilde{t}_s = (0,020,0,023,0,028,0,04)$ ,  $\tilde{t}_i = (0,075,0,077,0,082,0,086)$  minutes per unit product. The target of safety stock keeping

Week, $i$	Prod. planning with using maximal prod. capacity (C=19000 pcs/week)			Prod. planning with using safety stock target (3 days of coverage)			Results of experiment from fuzzy APP model			Realised production plan in enterprise		
	$Ss_i$	$P_i$	$Q_i$	$Ss_i$	$P_i$	$Q_i$	$Ss_i$	$P_i$	$Q_i$	$Ss_i$	$P_i$	$Q_i$
1	100 00	190 00	165 16	100 00	159 62	165 16	170 31	100 70	165 16	100 00	174 50	165 16
2	124 84	190 00	157 44	944 6	164 24	157 44	105 85	165 85	157 44	109 34	178 00	157 44
3	157 40	190 00	168 77	101 26	164 24	168 77	114 26	195 88	168 77	129 90	177 50	168 77
4	178 63	190 00	161 22	967 3	196 67	161 22	141 37	190 89	161 22	138 63	183 50	161 22
5	207 41	190 00	220 30	132 18	156 51	220 30	171 04	125 64	220 30	160 91	177 40	220 30
6	177 11	190 00	113 98	683 9	136 37	113 98	763 8	172 72	113 98	118 01	178 50	113 98
7	253 13	190 00	151 30	907 8	161 85	151 30	135 13	194 43	151 30	182 53	159 50	151 30
8	291 83	190 00	168 88	101 33	199 43	168 88	178 26	181 06	168 88	190 73	168 40	168 88
9	312 95	190 00	219 80	131 88	180 87	219 80	190 44	182 45	219 80	190 25	175 30	219 80
10	283 15	190 00	154 92	929 5	159 52	154 92	153 09	182 64	154 92	145 75	174 40	154 92
11	318 23	190 00	162 58	975 5	163 28	162 58	180 81	182 62	162 58	165 23	175 30	162 58
12	345 65	190 00	163 74	982 4	115 50	163 74	200 85	176 10	163 74	177 95	183 60	163 74
$\Sigma$	275 033	228 000	200 809	120 576	195 809	200 809	181 778	205 098	200 809	180 923	210 590	200 809

Table 2. Comparing with Two Strategies of Production and Inventory Planning

days used in calculation is between  $T^l = 3$  and  $T^u = 5$  days. the machine capacity is  $C = 19000$  pcs/week for 5 working days in a week.

The optimal value of objective function in fuzzy APP model is 66153 minutes for whole time window of 12 weeks (5512 minutes/weekly; 1102 minutes/daily; 18,4 h/daily) for feasibility degree  $b = 0,8$  (Table 1). Testing is performed in enterprise for both common used strategies of production/inventory planning and compared with realized production plan in enterprise and result of fuzzy APP model. For comparing purpose an initial safety stock value in week 1 for both strategies and realized production plan is supposed  $Ss_1 = 10000$  pcs. The result of fuzzy APP model for week 1 is  $Ss_1 = 17031$  pcs.

In Table 2 .are presented data for both strategies of production and inventory planning in enterprise. For every strategy and real-world data the delivered quantity is the same  $\sum Q_i = 200809$  pcs of goods for period of 12 weeks. The quantity of safety stock  $\sum Ss_i$  and produced parts  $\sum P_i$  are different. They are highest in strategy of using maximal capacity 275033 and 228000 pcs, respectively. The safety stock quantity is lowest in strategy with 3 days of inventory,  $\sum Ss_i = 120576$  pcs.

An objective function value (Table 3) which represents the total time required for all production and logistics operation in delivery goods to customers is the highest and in same time the most unfavorable in strategy with maximal capacity usage; it is 74476 minutes for 12 weeks (6206 minutes weekly, 1241 minutes daily, 20,7 hours daily). The lowest total time is in strategy with using safety stock target 62760 minutes for 12 weeks (5230 minutes weekly, 1046 minutes daily, 17,4 hours daily). The outcome of fuzzy APP model shows better result than strategy prod. planning using maximal prod. capacity and realized prod. plan in enterprise. Comparing with strategy with using safety stock target of 3 days the outcome is worse because the safety stock target used in fuzzy APP model is between 3 and 5 days. Normally the objective function is higher.

Prod.planning with using maximal prod. capacity (C=19000 pcs/week)		Prod. planning with using safety stock target (3 days of coverage)		Results of experiment from fuzzy APP model		Realized production plan in enterprise	
object. function.	Inven cover	object. function.	Inven cover	object. function.	Inven cover	object. function.	Inven cover
74476	6,9	62760	3	66640	4,5	67822	4,6

Table 3. objective Function Value and Inventory Coverage

#### 4. Conclusion

The review of the published APP models in literature showed that they did not consider and analysed the material flow time in the APP problems. All of the APP models have been developed to minimize operational cost in manufacturing, dealing with impacts on production, inventory or delivery costs. However, in some industrial sectors, the material flow time is a very important factor and cannot be neglected, because it has a big impact on the total measure of manufacturer performance. We consider a real world APP problem in the automotive industry and develop a fuzzy LP model which considers a material flow as the measure of performance. Results obtained using the proposed APP model are better compared to the practical results; the total material flow time is shorter using the proposed APP model. Practical application of the APP model in the factory would contribute to optimised production and inventory plan with higher customer satisfaction with the service level. Finally, the cash flow in the factory can be much improved.

#### Acknowledgement

The authors are grateful to the factory in the automotive industry for enabling us to consider their APP problem and to collect their data in a case study. Due to the confidentiality issues, we are not referring to the factory name. This research was funded by the Ministry of Education, Science and Technological Development of the Republic of Serbia, under the Project TR36030.

## References

- [1] Nam, S.J. & Logendran, R. (1992) Aggregate production planning – A survey of models and methodologies. *European Journal of Operational Research*, 61, 255–272.
- [2] Feiring, B.R. (1991) Production planning in stochastic demand environments. *Mathematical and Computer Modelling*, 15, 91–95.
- [3] Mula, J., Poler, R., García-Sabater, J.P. & Lario, F.C. (2006) Models for production planning under uncertainty: A review. *International Journal of Production Economics*, 103, 271–285.
- [4] Jiménez, M., Arenas, M., Bilbao, A. & Rodriguez, M.V. (2007) Linear programming with fuzzy parameters: An interactive method resolution. *European Journal of Operational Research*, 177, 1599–1609.
- [5] Aouam, T., Geryl, K., Kumar, K. & Brahim, N. (2018) Production planning with order acceptance and demand uncertainty. *Computers and Operations Research*, 91, 145–159.
- [6] Salamati-Hormozi, H., Zhang, Z.-H., Zarei, O. & Ramezani, R. (2018) Trade-off between the costs and the fairness for a collaborative production planning problem in make-to-order manufacturing. *Computers and Industrial Engineering*, 126, 421–434.
- [7] Avraamidou, S. & Pistikopoulos, E.N. (2017) A multiparametric Mixedinteger bi-level optimization strategy for supply chain planning under demand uncertainty. *IFAC-PapersOnLine*, 50, 10178–10183.
- [8] Modarres, M. & Izadpanahi, E. (2016) Aggregate production planning by focusing on energy saving: A robust optimization approach. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 133, 1074–1085.
- [9] Zadeh, L.A. (1965) Fuzzy sets. *Information and Control*, 8, 338–353.
- [10] Wulan, M. & Petrovic, D. (2012) A fuzzy logic based system for risk analysis and evaluation within enterprise collaboration. *Computers in Industry*, 63, 739–748.
- [11] Petrovic, D., Roy, R. & Petrovic, R. (1999) Supply chain modelling using fuzzy sets. *International Journal of Production Economics*, 59, 443–453.
- [12] Tang, J., Wang, D. & Fung, R.Y.K. (2000) Fuzzy formulation for multi-product aggregate production planning. *Production Planning and Control*, 11, 670–676.
- [13] Gholamian, N., Mahdavi, I. & Tavakkoli-Moghaddam, R. (2016) Multi-objective multi-product multi-site aggregate production planning in a supply chain under uncertainty: Fuzzy multiobjective optimisation. *International Journal of Computer Integrated Manufacturing*, 29, 1–17.